**PEER FEEDBACK**

**Goals and insights:** 60%, a clear description of goals but not the insight.

Comment - By reading the goals and insight, it clearly explains the visualization scenario like what he was trying to achieve through his goal for this visualization but the insight is not much clear. Try to elaborate the insights a bit more by referencing the result of your visualization.

**Data abstraction:** 60%, more than half of the description corresponds to the data and the vis. incomplete description of dataset and data types included.

Comment – Attributes data type is missing in the dataset type. Try adding the data type of the attributes that you have used for your visualization.

**Task abstraction:** 100%, Task abstraction is described in detail, with high and low level task. No, misunderstanding of task abstractions. Detailed description of marks, channels, users, actions and targets.

Comment – Everything looks good in the task abstraction. Marks, channels, users, actions, and targets all the elements in task abstraction is clear and correctly explained and mentioned in the report.

**Image of the Vis:** 100%, the image clearly shows the insights as described. Clearly labelled elements.

Comments – Labels of the image are not correctly visible. And the according to the goals and insights the image looks good to me.